AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL REPORT

F.A.P. 301 (US Rte. 20) Jo Daviess and Stephenson Counties

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Secti	<u>on</u>	Page
LIST	OF T	ABLES
LIST	OF F	GURES
LIST	OF E	KHIBITS
LIST	OF A	PPENDICES
TOIO X	O1 71.	TEMPICES
I.	INT	RODUCTION
	Α.	Purpose of Study
	В.	Project Location and Project Area Setting
	C.	Project Description and Status
	D.	Project Description and Status
	<i>D</i> .	Project Alternatives
		1. No Action Alternative
		3. Build Alternatives
	17	Depart Proceed and Marks dates
	E.	Report Format and Methodology
	F.	Federal Farmland Policy
	G.	State and Local Farmland Policy
Π.	SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS
	A.	Farmland and Agricultural Impacts
	В.	Mitigation Measures
	C.	Local, State and Federal Involvement
m.	AGR	ICULTURAL OVERVIEW
	A.	Jo Daviess County
	В.	
	Б. С.	Stephenson County
		Project Area Soils
	D.	Topography and Climate

TABLE OF CONTENTS, (CONT'D.)

Section	<u>on</u>		<u>Page</u>
IV.	AGR	ICULTURAL IMPACTS	
	A.	Introduction	
	В.	Agricultural Acres Required	
	C.	Prime and Important Farmlands Required	
	D.	Soil Capability Grouping	
	E.	Affected Agricultural Land by Zoning Classification	
	F.	The LESA System	
	G.	Conservation Reserve Program Acreage	
	H.	Designated Agricultural Protection Areas	
	I.	Centennial Farms within the Right-of-Way	
	J.	Severed and Otherwise Affected Farm Operations	
	K.	Severance Management Zones	
	L.	Landlocked Parcels	
	M.	Adverse Travel	
	N.	Farm Displacements	
	O.	Agricultural Income Loss	
v.	DRAI	NAGE IMPACTS	
	Α	Surface Drainage	
	В.	Subsurface Drainage	• • •
	C.	Erosion	
VI.	BORE	ROW PITS	
VII.	MITI	GATION MEASURES	
VIII.	REFE	RENCES	
IX.	APPE	NDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Number		<u>P</u>	ag	<u>e</u>
1.	Agricultural Statistics			
2.	Agricultural Impact Summary			•
3.	Acreage Required by Capability Class			
4.	Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment System			

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		<u>Pa</u>	ge
1.	County Location Map		
2.	Corridor Location Map	• (
3.	Agricultural Land Impacts		
4.	Areas of Probable Agricultural Land Conversion		

LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>t</u>	<u>P</u> a	ag	3
1.	ASCS Farmland Aerial Tract Mapping			
2.	Soil Survey Mapping			

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendices	Page
Appendix A - Appendix B -	Federal Farmland Protection Policy Act Preservation of Illinois Farmland (Executive Order No. 4) and Historia
Appendix C - Appendix D - Appendix E - Appendix F -	(Executive Order No. 4) and Illinois Farmland Preservation Act IDOT and IDOA Agreement Agricultural Impact Analysis Acres Required by Land Capability Class and Soil Type Agricultural Land Evaluation and
Appendix G - Appendix H -	Site Assessment System

AGRICULTURAL REVIEW CRITERIA

FOR

FAP ROUTE 301 (US 20) SECTION 43-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, & 177-1, -2 IL 84 (N) TO BOLTON ROAD (FREEPORT) JO DAVIESS & STEPHENSON COUNTIES

The items listed below are areas of concern which need to be addressed in order for the Illinois Department of Agriculture (IDOA) to properly complete its review of the agricultural impacts associated with the construction of the above referenced highway improvement. The IDOA would ask that these same concerns be addressed in the Environmental Impact Statement that will be prepared on the project.

- The location of the project plotted on a county highway map, plat map, or other map of equal
 or better quality.
- 2. Acres of additional right-of-way proposed for acquisition via fee acquisition for all purposes. Land acquisitions should be broken down by category (i.e. - mainline, frontage and access roads, uneconomical remnants, borrow sites, wetland mitigation, woodland mitigation, floodplain compensatory storage, etc.).
- Length of the project.
- Acres of each soil type proposed for fee acquisition (provide only if alternatives are proposed).
- What percent of the roadway will be constructed . . .
 - A. On the centerline of the existing roadway?
 - B. Parallel and adjacent to the existing centerline?
 - C. On entirely new alignment?
- Are design standards being utilized that will minimize the need to acquire right-of-way? In not, please explain.
- 7. Will the surface and subsurface drainage of adjacent fields (if applicable) be maintained so as to function as well or better after construction as before construction? If not, please explain.
- 8. Will the project's erosion control plan be submitted to the Jo Daviess and Stephenson County Soil and Water Conservation Districts for review and comment prior to its implementation? If not, please explain.
- Number and type of building relocations required.

Number, acreage, and location of each of the following that will be created: 10. Uneconomical remnants. A. Severed parcels. B. Landlocked parcels. C. Will any permanent adverse travel be generated? If so, please provide the following 11. information: Number of landowners and/or operators incurring adverse travel. A. Miles of adverse travel each landowner and/or operator will sustain (per round trip). B. Will any off-site agricultural land be utilized for obtaining borrow materials? If so, please 12 provide the following information for each site: À Acreage. Current land use. B. Location as plotted on a county Soil Survey map (if available). C. If borrow materials are to be contractor supplied, could the project contract specify D. that no Prime farmland can be utilized for borrow purposes in order to minimize the project's farmland conversion impacts? Will any off-site agricultural land be purchased for wetland mitigation, tree replacement, 13. and/or floodplain compensatory storage? If so, please provide the following information for each site: A. Acreage. Current land use. B. C Proposed land use. Location as plotted on a county Soil Survey map (if available). D. If any Prime farmland is proposed for acquisition, please explain why a non-Prime site(s) is not being considered. Acreage and location of any agricultural land to be acquired via fee acquisition that will 14. remain available for agricultural use. Please state why this land is not being offered for sale to an adjacent landowner.

- 15. If any utility lines need to be relocated on privately-owned land, please provide the following information:
 - A. Nature of the relocation(s) required.
 - B. Distance the utility line(s) will be located from the edge of the highway right-of-way.
 - C. Why will the utility line(s) not be relocated on the highway right-of-way in order to minimize the project's agricultural impacts?
- 16. Actions that will be taken to mitigate the project's adverse agricultural impacts. Please provide a discussion of:
 - A. Actions that will be taken to minimize the taking of agricultural land via fee simple acquisition for highway right-of-way purposes.
 - B. Actions that will be taken to minimize or eliminate the taking of Prime farmland for the purpose of mitigating for other natural resource impacts.
 - C. Actions that will be taken to avoid the taking of Prime farmland for use in creating floodplain compensatory storage.
 - D. Actions that will be taken to avoid the use of Prime farmland for borrow purposes.
 - E. Actions that will be taken to minimize or eliminate:
 - Uneconomical remnants.
 - 2. Severed parcels.
 - 3. Landlocked parcels.
 - 4. Adverse travel.
 - F. Other actions that will be taken to mitigate the project's adverse agricultural impacts.

NOTES: Agricultural land or farmland means all land in farms including cropland, hayland, pastureland, forestland, corrals, gardens and orchards, land used for farmsteads, buildings, barns, and machinery sheds, adjacent yards or corrals, pens, waste lagoons, feedlots, farmstead or feedlot windbreaks, grain bins, lanes for farm residences and fields, field windbreaks, ponds, commercial feedlots, greenhouses, nurseries, broiler facilities and farm landing strips.

<u>Prime and Important farmland</u> can be identified by contacting the appropriate county Soil and Water Conservation District.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION 2 - PURPOSE AND PROCEDURE	2
Z-I FURFUSE	2
2.2 PROCEDURE	2
	2
SECTION 3 - EXISTING NOISE ENVIRONMENT	
J.L. INTRODUCTION	4
3.2 AMBIENT NOISE MEASUREMENTS	4
3.3 ST. LOUIS REGIONAL AIRPORT NOISE	4
GENERATION	
	5
SECTION 4 - ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
4.1 INTRODUCTION	9
4.1 INTRODUCTION 4.2 EXISTING TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS 4.3 FUTURE TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS	9
4.3 FUTURE TRAFFIC NOTES LEVELS	9
4.3 FUTURE TRAFFIC NOISE LEVELS 4.4 TRAFFIC NOISE IMPACTS	10
THERETS NOTEDIT THERETS	11
4.4.1 Vecebroiz irom 1-330 +0	
Madison Avenue	12
receptors from Madison Avenue to Fact	
Of Demindry Kodd - Weetern 71+0meter	
(Alternates 1 through 1)	13
of Seminary Road - Eastern Alternate	
of Seminary Road - Eastern Alternate (Alternate 5)	17
	<i>'</i>
TITIOIS ROUTE 267 (Alternates 1 2	
	19
4.5 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATES	20
	20
SECTION 5 - MEASURES TO MINIMIZE HARM	25
2 * TOTOT ADAIDMENT CONSTITUTOR	
TO DEED DESCRIPTIONS AND WORLDAMPOR	25
Vicit Receptors from 1-270 to Madicon Assessed	27
5.2.2 Receptors from Madison Avenue to	28
East of Seminary Road - Western	
Alternates (Alternates 1, 2, 3, and 4	
5.2.3 Receptors from Madison Avenue to East	28
of Seminary Road - Eastern Alternate	
(Alternate 5)	_
5.2.4 Receptors from East of Seminary Road to	37
Illinois Route 267 (Alternates 1, 2,	
3. 4 and 5)	
3, 4 and 5)	39
	30

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION	ı
SECTION 2 - EXISTING AIR QUALITY	2
2.1 AIR QUALITY STANDARDS	2 2
SECTION 3 - AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS	7
3.1 INTRODUCTION	7 7
Concentrations	
Concentrations	12
3.3 AIRBORNE LEAD ANALYSIS	12
	14
3.5 CONSTRUCTION 3.6 CONFORMITY WITH THE STATE IMPLEMENTATION	
PLAN	
SECTION 4 - MEASURES TO MINIMIZE HARM	18
APPENDIX	
EXAMPLE CALCULATIONS - CARBON MONOXIDE A-1 to	35
EXAMPLE CALCULATIONS - AIRBORNE LEAD	м-э А-б
INPUT DATA-WORST CASE CARBON MONOXIDE	
CALCULATIONS	-16
SUMMARY OF TOTAL POLLUTANT BURDEN ANALYSIS A-17 to A	-20

TABLE OF CONTENTS F.A.P. 407 (ILLINOIS ROUTE 336) ADAMS AND HANCOCK COUNTIES

VOLUME I

Section			<u>Page</u>		
1.0	INTRODUCTION				
	1.1	TECHNICAL REPORT DESCRIPTION	1-1		
	1.2	DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF THE PROJECT	1-1		
	1.3	PROJECT STATUS	1-1		
	1.4	PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROJECT	1-5		
	1.5	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	1-7		
		1.5.1 No-Action Alternative	. 1–7		
		1.5.2 Using Other Modes of Transportation	1-8		
		1.5.3 Build Alternatives	- 1–9		
2.0	METH	ODS FOR ECOLOGICAL SURVEYS	2-1		
	2.1	METHODS AND MATERIALS	2-1		
	2.2	VEGETATION	2-1		
	2.3	WETLANDS	2-8		
	2.4	MAMMALS	2-10		
	2.5	BIRDS	2-10		
	2.6	WATER QUALITY	2-11		
,	2.7	FISH	2-12		
	2.8	MUSSELS	- 2-12		
	2.9	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	2-13		
	2.10	HABITAT EVALUATION PROCEDURE (HEP)	2_13		

TABLE OF CONTENTS F.A.P. 407 (ILLINOIS ROUTE 336) ADAMS AND HANCOCK COUNTIES (CONTINUED)

Section .		<u>Page</u>
3.0	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3–1
	3.1 GEOLOGICAL SETTING	3–1
	3.1.1 Historical Geology	3-1
	3.1.2 Bedrock Geology	3–4
	3.1.3 Surface Geology	3–7
	3.1.4 Caves	3–9
	3.1.5 Physiography and Topography	3-10
	3.1.6 Climate	3–12
	3.1.7 Soils	· 3–13
	3.1.8 Economic Geology	· 3 –17
	3.1.9 Ground Water	3–18
	3.1.10 Ground Water Supplies	3–19
	3.1.11 Contamination Potential	3-20
	3.2 SURFACE WATER RESOURCES AND WATER QUALITY	3-22
	3.2.1 Surface Water Resources	3–22
	3.2.2 Water Quality	3-41
	3.2.3 Aquatic Biota	3-50
	3.2.4 Wetlands	3-55
	3.2.5 Floodplains	3-61
	3.3 NATURAL AND RECREATIONAL AREAS	·· 3 – 63
•	3.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	. 3–67
	3.4.1 Terrestrial Vegetation	3-67
	3.4.2 Terrestrial Wildlife	3-78
	3.4.3 Important Species	· 3–89
	3.4.4 Threatened and Endangered Species	3–97
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	4-1
	4.1 GEOLOGICAL IMPACTS	4–1
	4.2 WATER RESOURCES	4-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS F.A.P. 407 (ILLINOIS ROUTE 336) ADAMS AND HANCOCK COUNTIES (CONTINUED)

Section				Page
		4.2.1	Surface Water Impacts	4-1
			Ground Water Impacts	4-7
			Wetland Impacts	4-9
	, -	•	Floodplain Impacts	4-12
	4.3		L AND RECREATIONAL AREA IMPACTS	4–13
	4.4		ICAL IMPACTS	4–13
		4.4.1	Vegetative Types Removed	4-13
		4.4.2	Fragmentation	4–14
		4.4.3	Barrier to Movement	4–15
		4.4.4	Operational Mortality	4-15
		4.4.5	Threatened and Endangered Species	4-17
	•	4;4,6	Habitat Evaluation Procedure (HEP)	4-18
	4.5		OF-WAY ENHANCEMENT	4-19
			2	
5.0	PERM	IT REQU	IREMENTS AND AGENCY COORDINATION	5-1
	5.1	PERMIT	REQUIREMENTS	5–1
	5.2	AGENCY	COORDINATION AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	5-2
		5.2.1	Scoping	5-2
		5.2.2	Public Involvement	5-3
6.0	REFE	RENCES	•	6–1
			VOLUME II	
EXHIBITS	;			
EXHI	BIT A	(1-19)	ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES	
APPENDIC	ES			
APPE APPE APPE APPE APPE	NDIX NDIX (NDIX NDIX	B NATI(C WETLA D WETLA E ILLIN F HABIT	OTTIFIC PLANT SPECIES WITH CORRESPONDING ONAL WETLAND INVENTORY MAPS AND DETERMINATION DATA SHEETS AND EVALUATION TECHNIQUE (WET) RESULTS FOR BREEDING BIRD ATLAS DATA CAT EVALUATION PROCEDURE (HEP) REPORT CED CORRESPONDENCE	COMMON NAMES

US 20 STUDY STEPHENSON & JO DAVIES COUNTIES

RESPONSIBILITIES FOR NATURAL RESOURCE ANALYSIS

Players

- . Biological Resources Unit
- . INHS
- . Consultant
- . District 2
- . Biological Resources Unit
 - . Initiate Biota Studies with INHS
 - . Letter to INHS Describing Studies
 - . Oversee Biota Studies of INHS.
 - . Direct Species Review
 - . Coordination to NHDB for Update
 - . Review INHS Submittals
 - . Provide Consultant With INHS Data for Consultant Write-Up
 - . Guide Development of Technical Report
 - . Coordinate Technical Report With Natural Resources Agencies

INHS

- . Perform Biota Studies
 - . Cover Type Mapping, Vegetation Sampling
 - . Fish
 - . Mussels
 - . Mammals
 - . Herps (Other Expe≹t)

INHS - (Continued)

- . Perform Biota Studies
 - . Birds
 - . Plants
 - . T & E Species
 - . Wildlife Corridor(s) Evaluation
- . Perform Assessment for Natural Area Potential

Consultant

- . Analysis of INHS Data
- . Prepares Natural Resources Technical Report
 - . Describes Quantity and Quality of Existing Ecological Environment
 - . Terrestrial, Aquatic, Geological, Wetlands
 - . Addresses Sensitivity of Environment to Project
 - . Cover Types Dominants, Disturbance, Uniqueness
 - . Habitat Losses & Describe Specifics Relationship to Cover Types
 - . Species Impact (Type, Degree, Biodiversity)
 - . Alteration of Landscape
 - . Barriers
 - . Fragmentation
 - Loss of Wooded Corridors
 - . Mitigation of Impacts
 - . Avoidance
 - . Revegetation (Tree & Prairie Replacement)
 - . Construction Sequencing
 - . Erosion Control Measures
 - . Restoration

- Enhancement of Conditions for Wildlife
- . Biodiversity
- . Provides Required Copies of Technical Report for Agency Review
- . Attends Meetings with Natural Resource Agencies
- . Prepares and Analyzes Required Changes to Technical Report Subsequent to Agency Comments
- . Prepares Biological, Sections for EIS
 - Sections Dependent Upon Results of Coordination of Technical Report and INHS Studies

District 2

- . Attends Meetings With Natural Resource Agency
- . Prepares Schedule for Items
- . Reviews Reports